EXHIBIT F

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

PHENIX LONGHORN LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION, HISENSE ELECTRONICA MEXICO, S.A. DE C.V., HISENSE USA CORPORATION, HISENSE VISUAL TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD., and DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

PHENIX LONGHORN LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

INNOLUX CORPORATION and DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

CIVIL CASE NO. 2:23-cv-00477-RWS-RSP

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

CIVIL CASE NO. 2:23-cv-00478-RWS-RSP JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

P.R. 4-3 DECLARATION OF JOSEPH MCALEXANDER IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S CLAIM CONSTRUCTION POSITIONS RELATING TO DEFINITENESS

I, Joseph McAlexander, hereby declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

- I have been retained by Phenix Longhorn LLC ("Phenix" or "Plaintiff") concerning 1. the above-captioned Civil Action proceeding involving U.S. Patent No. 7,233,305 ("the '305 Patent") and U.S. Patent No. 7,557,788 ("the '788 Patent") (collectively, the "Asserted Patents").
- 2. This declaration contains statements of my opinions formed to date and the associated reasoning in support of my opinions. I reserve the right to offer additional opinions based on further review of the materials of this case, or any related proceedings, including opinions and/or testimony of other relevant witness, including other expert witnesses retained in this matter.
- 3. I am being compensated by Plaintiff at my standard hourly consulting rate of \$625.00 per hour for my time spent on this matter. My compensation is not contingent on the outcome of this Civil Action or on the substance of my opinions.
 - 4. I have no financial interest in Plaintiff.

II. EDUCATION AND WORK HISTORY

I am a Registered Professional Engineer (#79454) and the President of 5. McAlexander Sound, Inc. I hold a Bachelor of Science degree in Electrical Engineering from North Carolina State University. I am a member of a number of professional organizations, including the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc. (IEEE) and the National Society of Professional Engineers. I have been associated with the integrated circuit and electronics industry as a designer and consultant for the past fifty-two (52) years. I am a named inventor on thirty-one (31) U.S. patents and a number of foreign patents, including patents directed to current sensors, motion sensors, timing and voltage/current control, signal generation and detection, and conditional response circuits.

- 6. My skills and experience are in areas of circuit design, simulation, layout and analysis, device fabrication and assembly, testing, marketing, control system design and analysis, manufacturing operations, and respective areas of quality, reliability, and defect/failure analysis. Specifically, I have:
 - designed and managed development, testing, and evaluation of devices including Dynamic Random Access Memories (DRAMs), Static Random Access Memories (SRAMs), Charge Coupled Devices (CCDs), Shift Registers (SRs), and functional circuits including I/O buffers for address and data, decoders, clocks, sense amplifiers, fault tolerant, parallel-toserial data paths for video applications, level shifters, converters, pumps, and logic, as well as wireless communication systems and MEMs applications;
 - managed operations including engineering, training, and quality assurance for device fabrication, assembly, test, analysis, and reliability assessment, as well as manufacturing control;
 - performed testing, analysis, and control involving use of mechanical calibration and measuring equipment, including optical, scanning e-beam, IR, capacitive, and laser using phase contrast and Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) for High Aspect Ratio Inspection (HARI) applications; audio and video system design and installation;
 - taught courses in solid state device physics, integrated circuit design, integrated circuit fabrication, and statistical control;
 - provided expert services, investigating both process and design technologies of various devices (microprocessor and controller, memory, programmable logic, card, tag, module, mixed signal, custom, and other), systems (PC and peripheral, computer, control, laser measurement, switch, architecture, software, and other), and consumer products (medical,

TV, telephone, VCR, facsimile, copier, lighting, game, pressure sensors, and other); provided nuclear radiation hardness testing services for military and space clients; managed the design and installation of audio sound and video systems for private and commercial enterprises.

- 7. I also have experience performing intellectual property valuation and patent portfolio work for companies. For example, when I worked at RMC Management, I conducted numerous patent portfolio valuations and negotiated licenses. Also, I was part of a consultant team with ST Microelectronics that performed patent portfolio valuations and negotiated licenses on behalf of ST Microelectronics.
- 8. Because of my background, training, and experience, I am qualified to provide the expert opinions within this declaration regarding the technology described and claimed in the Asserted Patents. A more detailed record of my professional qualifications is set forth in the attached Appendix A, which is my curriculum vitae, including a list of publications, awards, research grants, and professional activities.

III. MATERIALS CONSIDERED

- 9. In forming my opinions, I have reviewed the Asserted Patents and their prosecution histories.
- 10. In reaching my opinions, I have relied upon my experience in the field and also considered the viewpoint of a person of ordinary skill in the art ("POSITA") at the time of the earliest claimed priority date of the Asserted Patents. As explained below, I am familiar with the level of a person of ordinary skill in the art regarding the technology at issue as of that time.

IV. LEGAL STANDARDS

11. I am not an attorney but have been instructed in and applied the law as described in this section.

A. Claim Construction

- 12. I have been informed and understand that the words of a patent claim are generally given their ordinary and customary meaning, which is the meaning that the term would have to a person of ordinary skill in the art in question at the time of the invention, i.e., as of the effective filing date of the patent application. The person of ordinary skill in the art is deemed to read the claim term or claim limitation not only in the context of the particular claim in which the disputed term appears, but in the context of the entire patent, including the specification. I understand that claim construction may deviate from the ordinary and customary meaning of a disputed term only if (1) a patentee sets out a definition and acts as his or her own lexicographer, or (2) the patentee disavows the full scope of a claim term either in the specification or during prosecution.
- 13. I have been informed and understand that the first step in claim construction is to look to the language of the claims themselves. I understand it is a bedrock principle of patent law that the claims of a patent define the invention to which the patentee may exclude others from using.
- 14. I have been informed and understand that claims should also be read in view of other claims of the patent, both asserted and unasserted. I understand that claim terms are normally used consistently throughout the patent, and therefore the usage of a term in one claim can often help in understanding the meaning of the same term in other claims. Further, I understand that differences among claims can also be a useful guide in understanding the meaning of particular claim terms. As a specific example, I understand that the presence of a dependent claim with a particular limitation gives rise to a presumption that this limitation is not present in the independent claim from which it depends.
- 15. I have been informed and understand that claims must be read in view of the specification, of which they are a part. But while claim terms are understood in light of the

specification, a claim construction must not import limitations from the specification into the claims. Absent limiting circumstances, a patentee is entitled to the full breadth of claim scope supported by the claims and specification.

- 16. I have been informed and understand that a court may rely on extrinsic evidence to shed useful light on the relevant art. Though extrinsic evidence is generally less significant and less reliable than intrinsic evidence, the court may rely on extrinsic evidence at least to (1) help educate the court regarding the field of the invention, and (2) determine what a person of ordinary skill in the art would understand the claim terms to mean.
- 17. I have been informed and understand that a patent claim is invalid if it is indefinite. I further understand that to satisfy the definiteness requirement, a claim must inform a person of ordinary skill in the art of the claimed invention's scope with reasonable certainty when read in view of the specification and prosecution history.
- 18. I have been asked to review the claims and provide my opinion regarding the meaning of the claims from the perspective of one of ordinary skill in the art. My opinions on claim construction expressed in this Declaration are from the perspective of, and based upon the knowledge of, a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, and are consistent with my understanding as stated above.

B. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art

19. I am informed and understand that, in the field of patent law, a POSITA is a hypothetical person who is presumed to have known the relevant art at the time of the invention. I understand that the facts that may be considered in determining the level of ordinary skill in the art include: (a) the types of problems encountered in the art; (b) prior art solutions to those problems; (c) the rapidity with which innovations are made; (d) the sophistication of the technology at issue; and (e) the educational level of active workers in the field.

20. I am informed that the "time of invention" I should use for the purpose of providing my opinions in this declaration is June 11, 2003, the date of the filing of Provisional Patent Application No. 60/477,680 (the "'680 Provisional Application").

Document **104**-7

- 21. In forming my opinions expressed in this declaration, I relied upon my knowledge, skill, experience, training, and education in the relevant field of the art, and have considered the viewpoint of a POSITA at the time of invention. My opinions, therefore, represent the view of a POSITA as of June 11, 2003.
- I am informed that Plaintiff's definition of a POSITA requires "at least a bachelor 22. of science degree in physics, electrical engineering, or the equivalent thereof and three (3) years of experience in circuit design or display technologies." Additionally, I am informed that Plaintiff's definition of a POSITA also requires a "knowledge of integrated circuits, gamma correction, and storage of gamma correction voltage values within memory, and would have understood how to search available literature for relevant publications."
- 23. I agree to Plaintiff's proposed definition of a POSITA and further agree to apply the same definition. I may, however, offer additional or different definitions of a POSITA in other related matters based on review of the materials in those cases.
 - It is my opinion that I qualify as a POSITA under Plaintiff's proposed definition. 24.

V. **THE '788 PATENT**

25. The '788 Patent, entitled "Gamma Reference Voltage Generator," was filed on May 1, 2007, and claims priority to the '680 Provisional Application, and names Richard V. Orlando and Trevor A. Blyth as co-inventors. See Dkt. 1-2 at 2. The '788 Patent discloses a programmable integrated circuit comprising non-volatile storage cells which can be programmed with gamma reference voltages via a multiplexer and then output to displays, including LCDs. See id. at 2:16-

- 38. The claims of the '788 Patent are directed to methods of programming and calibrating such displays. See id. at 7:23 - 8:48.
- 26. The '788 Patent was filed on May 1, 2007 (Appl. No. 11/743,014) and is, therefore, subject to pre-AIA review.
- 27. I understand that the application resulting in the '788 Patent (Patent Application No. 11/743,014) was filed on May 1, 2007. I further understand that, on July 22, 2008, the Examiner entered a Non-Final Office Action rejecting all claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103. See Phenix AUO Hisense 0000502-508; Phenix Innolux 0000502-508.
- 28. Specifically, Claims 1 and 3-5 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Liaw (Phenix AUO Hisense 0000504-506; Phenix Innolux 0000504-506), while Claims 2 and 6 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Liaw in view of Matsui (Phenix AUO Hisense 0000507; Phenix Innolux 0000507). No rejections under pre-AIA § 112 ¶ 2 were made by the Examiner during prosecution of the application.
- 29. I understand that, on October 22, 2008, Applicant filed a response to the Non-Final Rejection. Id. at Phenix AUO Hisense 0000479-490; Phenix Innolux 0000479-490. Claims 1 and 4 were amended to correct non-substantive errors; Claims 2, 3, and 6 were left unchanged; and Claim 5 was amended to delete "wherein said means for executing said predetermined algorithm required subsequent the calibrating Id. is not to step." at Phenix AUO Hisense 0000480-483; Phenix Innolux 0000480-483.
- 30. I understand that, after correcting non-substantive errors with the drawings, a Notice of Allowance was entered on March 5, 2009 (id. at Phenix AUO Hisense 0000458-460; Phenix Innolux 0000458-460), and the '788 Patent issued on July 7, 2009 (id. at Phenix AUO Hisense 0000449; Phenix Innolux 0000449).

Page 10 of 54 PageID

VI. **DEFINTINESS OF THE '788 PATENT'S CLAIM TERMS**

- 31. I understand that Defendants contend that the following claim terms as used in claim 1 of the '788 Patent are indefinite: (i) "gamma reference control capability"; (ii) "control circuit"; (iii) "means for executing a predetermined algorithm"; (iv) "means for executing a predetermined algorithm according to a predetermined criteria and data sensed by said at least one sensor / means for executing said predetermined algorithm"; (v) "predetermined algorithm"; and (vi) "optimizing said gamma reference voltage levels."
- 32. It is my opinion that claim 1 of the '788 Patent is not indefinite because it informs a person of ordinary skill in the art of the scope of the claim with reasonable certainty when read in view of the specification and prosecution history. Specifically, the specification and claim, in light of the knowledge of a person of ordinary skill in the art, provides clear notice of the boundaries of the claim, including each of its claim limitations.

"Gamma reference control capability" A.

Claim	Terms	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction
1	"Gamma reference control capability"	Not indefinite; plain and ordinary meaning

33. It is my opinion that "gamma reference control capability," as used in claim 1 of the '788 Patent, is not indefinite because it informs a person of ordinary skill in the art of the scope of the claim with reasonable certainty when read in view of the specification and prosecution history. The claims, specification, and prosecution history of the '788 Patent provide a person of ordinary skill in the art clear notice of the boundaries of the claim, as it relates to what constitutes "gamma reference control capability."

- 34. A person of ordinary skill in the art would understand that the term "gamma reference control" capability refers to the capability to control, modify, alter, or reprogram the gamma reference voltages of the system described in the '788 Patent. As a non-exclusive example, the '788 Patent describes a "gamma reference circuit" using "gamma reference controllers, 210 and 220, for a TFT panel 280." Dkt. 1-2 at 2:61-63. Wherein "[t]he gamma reference controller 210 drives a first set of eight gamma reference voltages GM1-GM8 to the source drivers 240, 241,...and 242" and "gamma reference controller 220 drives a second set of eight gamma reference voltages GM9-GM16 to the source drivers 240, 241,...and 242." Id. at 2:67-3:5. A person of ordinary skill in the art would, therefore, understand that claim 1's "display with gamma reference control capability" means that the display can control, alter, modify, reprogram, or otherwise change its gamma reference voltages of its gamma reference circuit (or gamma reference generator).
- 35. The specification and figures of the '788 Patent contain various descriptions to additional inform a person of ordinary skill in the art's understanding of the "gamma reference control capability" claim term. See e.g., Dkt. 1-2 at 2:17-28; 2:61-3:12; 3:24-30; 3:36-58; 5:28-47; 6:32-51; FIG 2; FIG 3; and FIG 6.

B. "control circuit"

Claim	Terms	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction
1	"control circuit"	Not indefinite; plain and ordinary meaning

36. It is my opinion that "control circuit," as used in claim 1 of the '788 Patent, is not indefinite because it informs a person of ordinary skill in the art of the scope of the claim with

reasonable certainty when read in view of the specification and prosecution history. The claims, specification, and prosecution history of the '788 Patent provides a person of ordinary skill in the art clear notice of the boundaries of the claim, as it relates to what constitutes a "control circuit."

Document 104-7

- 37. A person of ordinary skill in the art would understand that the term "control circuit" refers to a circuit with the ability to control a particular variable. Read in the context the '788 Patent's claim 1, "varying gamma reference voltage levels on columns of said display by a control circuit" indicates that the control circuit can control the gamma reference voltage levels on columns of the claim's display. A person of ordinary skill in the art would come to this logical conclusion based on the way the term is used in claim 1.
- 38. The specification and figures of the '788 Patent contain various descriptions to additional inform a person of ordinary skill in the art's understanding of the "control circuit" claim term. See e.g., Dkt. 1-2 at 2:17-28; 2:61-3:12; 5:28-35; Table 1; Table 2; FIG 2; FIG 3; and FIG 6.

"means for executing a predetermined algorithm" C.

Claim	Terms	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction
1	"means for executing a predetermined algorithm"	Not indefinite; Function: executing a predetermined algorithm.
		Structure: programming interface

39. It is my opinion that "means for executing a predetermined algorithm," as used in claim 1 of the '788 Patent, is not indefinite because it informs a person of ordinary skill in the art of the scope of the claim with reasonable certainty when read in view of the specification and prosecution history. The specification, claims, and prosecution history of the '788 Patent provides

Page 13 of 54 PageID

a person of ordinary skill in the art clear notice of the boundaries of the claim, as it relates to what constitutes a "means for executing a predetermined algorithm."

- 40. I have been informed and understand that the term's use of "means for" provides a presumption that the term is a "means-plus-function" claim term. I further have been informed and understand that means-plus-function terms require identification of a claimed function and a structure for performing said claimed function.
- 41. It is my opinion that a person of skill in the art would understand the scope of the "means for executing a predetermined algorithm" to include a function of executing a predetermined algorithm and a structure for performing said function which is the '788 Patent's programming interface.
- 42. A person of ordinary skill in the art would derive the ascribed function from the claim term itself as a "means for executing a predetermined algorithm." Dkt. 1-2 at claim 1 (emphasis added). Reading the claims, specification, and prosecution history, a person of ordinary skill in the art would understand the '788 Patent's "programming interface" as the associated structure for executing a predetermined algorithm. As a non-exclusive example, the specification of the '788 Patent describes in detail the programming of the device via the "programming interface." See id. at 6:1-7:10. The specification further describes one exemplary embodiment of a programming interface, as the "programming interface 230" and identifies programming interface 230 in Figure 2. See id. at 2:61-3:30.
- 43. The specification and figures of the '788 Patent contain various descriptions to additional inform a person of ordinary skill in the art's understanding of the "means for executing a predetermined algorithm" claim term. See e.g., Dkt. 1-2 at Abstract; 2:17-29; 2:61-3:12; 6:1-14; 6:32-7:10; and FIG. 2.

"means for executing a predetermined algorithm according to a D. predetermined criteria and data sensed by said at least one sensor / means for executing said predetermined algorithm"

Claim	Terms	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction
1	"means for executing a	Not indefinite;
	predetermined algorithm	plain and ordinary meaning
	according to a	
	predetermined criteria	
	and data sensed by said at	
	least one sensor / means	
	for executing said	
	predetermined algorithm"	

- 44. It is my opinion that "means for executing a predetermined algorithm according to a predetermined criteria and data sensed by said at least one sensor / means for executing said predetermined algorithm," as used in claim 1 of the '788 Patent, is not indefinite because it informs a person of ordinary skill in the art of the scope of the claim with reasonable certainty when read in view of the claim language, the specification, and prosecution history. The specification, claims, and prosecution history of the '788 Patent provides a person of ordinary skill in the art clear notice of the boundaries of the claim, as it relates to what constitutes a "means for executing a predetermined algorithm according to a predetermined criteria and data sensed by said at least one sensor / means for executing said predetermined algorithm."
- 45. A person of ordinary skill in the art would understand the scope of claim 1's term "means for executing a predetermined algorithm" with reasonable certainty, and provide clear notice of the boundaries of the claim, for the reasons set forth in section VI.C above, and incorporated by reference herein.

- 46. It is my opinion that the claim terms additional language "according to a predetermined criteria and data sensed by said at least one sensor" is not indefinite because it informs a person of ordinary skill in the art of the scope of the claim with reasonable certainty when read in view of the claim language, the specification, and the prosecution history. As a nonexclusive example, the specification describes that "[o]nce the optical sensors have modulated gamma reference voltage levels for the columns to achieve the predetermined light matching for the display these values can be saved in the gamma reference circuitry." Dkt. 1-2 at 7:1-5. For example, the specification further states that "[f]or the user, a sensor can be supplied with the display which responds to the temperature, lighting or other conditions present" wherein "[t]he output of the sensor can be matched to a predetermined application condition which selects the corresponding gamma value set." Id. at 7:6-10. A person of ordinary skill in the art would read the specification's disclosures and the context of the claims and understand that the use of predetermined criteria such as temperature, lighting, or other desired conditions, sensed by the sensor could be used by the programming interface to execute a predetermined algorithm and adjust to the desired gamma reference voltage levels.
- 47. The specification and figures of the '788 Patent contain various descriptions to additional inform a person of ordinary skill in the art's understanding of the "means for executing a predetermined algorithm according to a predetermined criteria and data sensed by said at least one sensor / means for executing said predetermined algorithm" claim term. See e.g., Dkt. 1-2 at Abstract; 2:17-29; 2:61-3:12; 6:1-14; 6:52-7:10; and FIG. 2.

"predetermined algorithm" E.

Claim Terms	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction
-------------	-----------------------------------

1	"predetermined algorithm"	Not indefinite; plain and ordinary meaning

- 48. It is my opinion that "predetermined algorithm," as used in claim 1 of the '788 Patent, is not indefinite because it informs a person of ordinary skill in the art of the scope of the claim with reasonable certainty when read in view of the specification and prosecution history. The specification, claims, and prosecution history of the '788 Patent provides a person of ordinary skill in the art clear notice of the boundaries of the claim, as it relates to what constitutes a "predetermined algorithm."
- 49. A person of ordinary skill in the art would understand that a "predetermined algorithm" comprises a pre-set or pre-conceived order of steps to optimize or adjust the gamma reference voltage levels. As a non-exclusive example, the specification of the '788 Patent describes one embodiment which includes "[d]isplay optimization algorithms may be located in such a PC which also may be connected to monitors feeding back data from the display during the optimization tuning at time of manufacture." Dkt. 1-2 at 6:60-64.
- 50. The specification and figures of the '788 Patent contain various descriptions to additional inform a person of ordinary skill in the art's understanding of the "predetermined algorithm" claim term. *See e.g.*, Dkt. 1-2 at Abstract; 2:17-29; 2:61-3:12; 6:1-14; 6:52-7:10; and FIG. 2.

F. "optimizing said gamma reference voltage levels"

Claim	Terms	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction
1	"optimizing said gamma reference voltage levels"	Not indefinite; plain and ordinary meaning

- 51. It is my opinion that "optimizing said gamma reference voltage levels," as used in claim 1 of the '788 Patent, is not indefinite because it informs a person of ordinary skill in the art of the scope of the claim with reasonable certainty when read in view of the specification and prosecution history. The specification, claims, and prosecution history of the '788 Patent provides a person of ordinary skill in the art clear notice of the boundaries of the claim, as it relates to what constitutes "optimizing said gamma reference voltage levels."
- 52. A person of ordinary skill in the art would understand that "optimizing" the gamma reference voltage levels would comprise the adjusting, modifying, or changing of the gamma reference voltage levels to meet the desired output to the display. As a non-exclusive example, the description of related art in the specification of the '788 Patent provides that "[e]ach display often has a different response to the gamma correction reference voltages, resulting in the need to generate specific gamma reference voltages for each model of display as well as compensating for display to display variation due to manufacturing process variations." Dkt. 1-2 at 1:24-29. A person or ordinary skill in the art would, therefore, understand that optimization of gamma reference voltage levels would be needed to adjust the desired output to the display to, at least in one example, account for the variations introduced in the manufacturing process.
- 53. The specification and figures of the '788 Patent contain various descriptions to additional inform a person of ordinary skill in the art's understanding of the "optimizing said gamma reference voltage levels" claim term. See e.g., Dkt. 1-2 at Abstract; 2:17-29; 2:61-3:12; 6:1-14; 6:52-7:10; Table 1; Table 2; and FIG. 2.

RESERVATION OF RIGHTS VII.

I reserve the right to supplement, modify, or revise my opinions and constructions 54. based on additional information that is provided to me in this litigation.

Filed 07/15/25 Page 18 of 54 PageID

Case 2:23-cv-00478-RWS-RSP Document 104-7

55. In addition to this general reservation of rights, I specifically reserve the right to supplement, modify, or revise my opinions and constructions based on any arguments raised by

defendants and their experts, including their arguments relating to allegations of indefiniteness.

I hereby declare that all statements of my knowledge made in this declaration are true and

that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like are

punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States

Code.

Dated: May 8, 2025

Joseph McAlexander

APPENDIX

A

Joseph C. McAlexander III

PROFESSIONAL SUMMARY

#: **3222**

Document **104**-7

Currently a Registered Professional Engineer (#79454) and recognized as an inventor on 31 US and a number of foreign patents, I am President of MEAlexander Sound, Inc., and the Managing Director of MEAlexander Sound Pte Ltd. I have focused my expertise to support a number of clients in product, process, and operations analysis and investigation. Fifty-two years of experience in microcircuit and semiconductor technologies has developed my skills in areas of circuit design and analysis, device fabrication and assembly, testing, marketing, control system design and analysis, manufacturing operations and respective areas of quality, reliability, and defect / failure analysis. I am also the President and CEO of MDFHoldings, Inc., an IP holding company currently engaged in the field of GPS Tracking, and was formerly, among others, a Manager with QM Partners, LP, supporting clients in IP management and licensing. I have:

- designed and managed development, testing, and evaluation of devices including Dynamic Random Access Memories (DRAMs), Static Random Access Memories (SRAMs), Charge Coupled Devices (CCDs), Shift Registers (SRs), and functional circuits including I/O buffers for address and data, decoders, clocks, sense amplifiers, fault tolerant, parallel-to-serial data paths for video applications, level shifters, converters, pumps, and logic, as well as wireless communication systems and MEMs applications;
- designed, built, and managed 4 physical / chemical analysis laboratories to assess cause of defect and reliability of products, including nanostructure integrated circuits, systems, and product packaging;
- managed operations including engineering, training, and quality assurance for device fabrication, assembly, test, analysis, and reliability assessment, as well as manufacturing control, each of which involved both volatile and non-volatile memory; testing, analysis, and control involving use of mechanical calibration and measuring equipment, including optical, scanning e-beam, IR, capacitive, and laser using phase contrast and Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) for High Aspect Ratio Inspection (HARI) applications; audio and video system design and installation;
- taught courses in solid state device physics, integrated circuit design, integrated circuit fabrication, and statistical control;
- provided expert services, investigating both process and design technologies of various devices (microprocessor and controller, memory, programmable logic, card, tag, module, mixed signal, custom, and other), systems (PC and peripheral, computer, control, laser measurement, switch, architecture, software, and other), and consumer products (medical, TV, telephone, VCR, facsimile, copier, lighting, game, and other);
- provided nuclear radiation hardness testing services for military and space clients; and

Joseph C. McAlexander III

PROFESSIONAL SUMMARY

- managed the design and installation of audio sound and video systems for private and commercial enterprises.

From 1986-1990, I was Executive Vice President of EPI Technologies, Inc., prior to joining the staff at Cochran Consulting, Inc. where I served as senior managing consultant from 1991-2002. From 1972 to 1986, I was employed by Texas Instruments Incorporated.

Joseph C. McAlexander III

EXPERIENCE PROFILE

#: 3823

Document **104**-7

1988-present

McAlexander Sound, Inc. (McASI) - Richardson, TX **President**

- o System, Product, and Process investigation, expert witness services for protection of intellectual property;
- o Patent portfolio development and valuation;
- o Product liability and insurance claim investigation, expert witness services for matters involving such claims;
- o Quality Systems consulting and engineering;
- o Radiation Hardness Testing Technical Representative;
- o Acoustic system design/installation
- o Technical Advisor in High Aspect Ratio and Surface Contour Measurement using Direct-to-Digital Holography.

2005-present

McAlexander Sound Pte Ltd - Singapore **Managing Director**

- o System, Product, and Process investigation, expert witness services for protection of intellectual property;
- o Patent portfolio development and valuation;
- o Contract consultation;
- o Not assigned any patents and is not engaged in any IP related licensing or litigation activities.

2002-present

MDFHoldings, Inc. – Las Vegas, NV **CEO**

- o IP holding and licensing company;
- o Assigned U.S. Patent Nos. 7,340,260 and 7,657,265 and EP 1 557 058 B1, each related to an object locating system;
- o Has not been and is not engaged in any IP related litigation or licensing activities.

2006-2020

QM Partners, L.P. – Texas **Manager**

- o Management of development, licensing, prosecution and exploitation of assigned intellectual property;
- o Not assigned any patents and is not engaged in any IP related licensing or litigation activities.

Joseph C. McAlexander III

EXPERIENCE PROFILE (continued)

2006-2020 Guardian Technologies, LLC – Texas Manager

- o IP holding and licensing company;
- o Litigated U.S. Patent No. 5,657,076 related to security surveillance 2009-2011 (patent expired 2015);
- o Assigned U.S. Patent No. 8,334,775 related to RFID tracking (reassigned 2020 to personal);
- o Not engaged in any IP related licensing or litigation activities.

2006-2020 **Appropriate Holdings, LLC** – Delaware **Manager**

- o IP holding company;
 - o Not assigned any patents, has not been and is not engaged in any IP related licensing or litigation activities.

1996-2010 **RMC Management, LLP** - Plano, TX <u>Partner</u>

o Asset management.

1991-2002 **Cochran Consulting, Inc. (CCI)** - Richardson, TX **Managing Consultant**

- o System, Product, and Process investigation, expert witness services for protection of intellectual property;
- o Design, process, and product reliability;
- o Defect and failure analysis.

1986-Nov'90 **EPI Technologies, Inc.** - Richardson, TX **Executive Vice President and Company Officer**

- o Managed Advanced Technology Div., QA, and Engineering, including software program development;
- o Developed strategic, space/energy market growth plans;
- o Negotiated the acquisition of and managed a radiation company;
- o Designed and managed physical analysis, radiation effects, and environmental stress laboratories, including optical and e-beam measurement:
- o Achieved > 30% annual revenue growth and profitability for each laboratory the first 12 months;

M^cAlexander Sound, Inc.

CV - Joe M^cAlexander, 1Q2025

Joseph C. McAlexander III

EXPERIENCE PROFILE (continued)

o Product and Process investigation services for protection of intellectual property.

1972 – 1986 **Texas Instruments, Inc.** - Dallas/Houston, TX; Singapore

- '84 '86 **Quality/Reliability Assurance Manager, TI Dallas** Advanced DRAM semiconductor wafer fabrication facility
 - o Developed/implemented on-line, computerized SPC software tools for dimensioning analysis and control and pattern recognition;
 - o Coordinated people development, design-of-experiments;
 - o Managed chemical and physical analysis laboratories;
 - o Implemented control systems to assure product, process, material, equipment, and facility compliance, including Cost of Quality analysis.

'82 - '84 **Quality/Reliability Assurance and Engineering Manager**, TI Singapore assembly/test facility

- o Developed, implemented, and operated an effective Quality/Reliability Assurance program for assembly processing including optical pattern recognition for equipment registration;
- o Supervised 225 people for 7 day/week operation, including QRA, Computer Systems software development, and Training;
- o Trained engineers in Solid State Physics, device fabrication, and statistical process control.

'81 - '82 Engineering Operations Manager, TI Houston

- o Managed DRAM memory product cost center;
- o Responsible for division test software generation, product assembly and test quality / yield, cost reduction and quality improvement;
- o Provided technical customer interface for marketing;
- o Coordinated TI Singapore engineering test/assembly.

'79 - '81 **Product Engineering Manager, TI Houston**

- o Responsible for yield improvement, technical customer interface, quality improvement, design evaluation, and device characterization for DRAM and CCD products;
- o Developed device specifications and test software.

Joseph C. McAlexander III

EXPERIENCE PROFILE (continued)

'72 - '79 <u>Design Section Manager / Engineer</u>, TI Houston

- o Responsible for design and development, process compatibility, production introduction of Dynamic Ram products;
- o Activities included electrical and physical layout, SPICE model simulation, test program generation, and product implementation for MOS Dynamic Ram products.
- 1969 1972 U. S. Army Coventry, Rhode Island; Seoul, Korea Captain, Air Defense Artillery
 - o Served one year as Communications Officer in Korea;
 - o Served two years as Tactical Officer, New England Defense.

ORGANIZATIONS, PUBLICATIONS, EDUCATION

PROFESSIONAL ORGANIZATIONS AND AWARDS

- 1 Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc. (IEEE), Senior Member. Societies: Computer, Electron Devices, Solid State Circuits
- 2 Licensing Executives Society (LES)
- 3 National Society of Professional Engineers
- 4 Texas Board of Professional Engineers, Registered License #79454
- 5 American Society of Civil Engineers
- 6 Architectural Engineering Institute
- 7 2000/2001 Nationwide Register's Who's Who in Executives and Businesses
- 8 1996/1997 Strathmore's Who's Who Registry of Business Leaders

PUBLICATIONS

- 1- NUS Proceedings of Engineering Convention '83, Aug '83, pgs. 139-142, The Memory Challenge.
- 2- <u>Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics</u>, Dec'81, Vol. 212, No. 2, Equilibrium Constants under Physiological Conditions for the Reactions of D-3-Phosphoglycerate Dehydrogenase and L-Phosphoserine Aminotransferase.
- 3- <u>International Electron Devices Meeting</u>, Dec '79, pgs. 355-357, Sub 100ns 16K x 1 MOS Dynamic RAM Using a Grounded Substrate.

EDUCATION PROFILE

1980 - 1985	Taught Solid State Device Physics, Semiconductor Processing, Circuit Design Techniques, and Statistical Quality Control Methods		
	Effectiveness Training and Japanese Manufacturing Techniques, Participative Problem Solving courses		
1975 - 1976	1.5 years Graduate Study in Neural Science, the University of Texas Graduate School of Biomedical Science		
1965 - 1969	BSEE, North Carolina State University		

Joseph C. MEAlexander III

	PATENTS (US-31, Foreign-8)
4,239,993	(1980) High Performance Dynamic Sense Amplifier with Active Loads
4,280,070	(1981) Balanced Input Buffer Circuit for Semiconductor Memory
4,288,706	(1981) Noise Immunity in Input Buffer Circuit for Semiconductor Memory
4,370,575	(1983) High Performance Dynamic Sense Amplifier with Active Loads
4,418,293	(1983) High Performance Dynamic Sense Amplifier with Multiple Column Outputs
4,533,843	(1985) High Performance Dynamic Sense Amplifier with Voltage Boost for Row Address Lines
4,543,500	(1985) High Performance Dynamic Sense Amplifier Voltage Boost for Row Address Lines
4,543,501	(1985) High Performance Dynamic Sense Amplifier with Dual Channel Grounding Transistor
4,748,349	(1988) High Performance Dynamic Sense Amplifier with Voltage Boost for Row Address Lines
6,172,640 B1	(2001) Pet Locator
6,236,358 B1	(2001) Mobile Object Locator
6,421,001 B1	(2002) Object Locator
6,441,778 B1	(2002) Pet Locator
6,480,147 B2	(2002) Portable Position Determining Device
6,518,919 B1	(2003) Mobile Object Locator
6,771,213 B2	(2004) Object Locator
6,859,171 B2	(2005) Mobile Object Locator

Joseph C. McAlexander III

PATENTS (continued)	
7,113,126 B2	(2006) Portable Positioning Determining Device
7,179,674 B2	(2007) Bi-Directional Released-Beam Sensor
7,209,075 B2	(2007) Mobile Object Locator
7,324,044 B2	(2008) Object Locator
7,336,227 B2	(2008) Portable Position Determining Device
7,340,260 B2	(2008) System and Method for Tracking the Location of Multiple Mobile Radio Transceiver Units (assigned to MDFHoldings, Inc.)
7,353,706 B2	(2008) Weighted Released-Beam Sensor
7,397,097 B2	(2008) Integrated Released Beam Layer Structure Fabricated in Trenches and Manufacturing Method Thereof
7,564,405 B2	(2009) Object Locator
7,657,265 B2	(2010) System and Method for Tracking the Location of Multiple Mobile Radio Transceiver Units (assigned to MDFHoldings, Inc.)
7,760,137 B2	(2010) Portable Positioning Determining Device
7,764,228 B2	(2010) Portable Positioning Determining Device
7,989,906 B2	(2011) Bi-Directional released-Beam Sensor
8,334,775 B2	(2012) RFID-Based Asset Security and Tracking System, Apparatus and Method (assigned to personal)
JP 55-053640 B4	(1980) Defect Resistant Semiconductor Memory Cell
JP 59-044720 B4	(1984) Semiconductor High Speed Read/Write Memory Unit
DE2935121 C2	(1980) Clock Voltage Generator for Semiconductor Memory with Reduced Power Dissipation
DE3043651 A1	(1981) Clock Voltage Generator for Semiconductor Memory with Reduced Power Dissipation

Joseph C. McAlexander III

PATENTS (continued)	
GB2032211 B2	(1980) High Performance Dynamic MOS Read/Write Memory
EP 1 557 058 B1	(2011) System and method for tracking the location of multiple mobile radio transceiver units (assigned to MDFHoldings, Inc.) [States: AT BE BG CH CY CZ DE DK EE ES FI FR GB GR HU IE IT LI LU MC NL PT RO SE SI SK TR]
EP 1 676 809 B1	(2010) Weighted released-beam sensor [States: DE FR GB IT]
EP 1 676 810 B1	(2010) Bi-directional released-beam sensor [States: DE FR GB IT]

Joseph C. McAlexander III

CASES

Cases over at least the past 7 years, either active or closed, in which I have signed a Protective Order, have testified as an expert either at a trial, hearing, or deposition, or have submitted statements / opinions by declaration, affidavit, or report, are:

CASE ¹	CASE NUMBER	LOCATION	YEAR	TYPE ²
Kangaroo Media* v. Immersion Entertainment (*firm: Kenyon & Kenyon)	2:12-cv-00382- JFC, 2:14-cv- 01536-JFC	WD PA	2012 - 2016	P,t
(Audi	o/Video Entertainme	nt System patent)		
Securus* v. Global (*firm: Gruber)	3:13-CV-03009-K	ND TX	2014 - 2017	P,t
(F	Patents related to telec	com systems)		
Global v. Securus* (*firm: Gruber)	3:14-CV-0829-K, 3:13-CV-00713- JRS	ND TX / ED VA	2014 - 2017	P
(I	Patents related to telec	com systems)		
Advanced Audio Devices* (*firm: Clark Hill PLC)	IPR2014-01155	USPTO PTAB	2014 - 2016	P,t
(Pater	nt 7,289,393 related t	o music jukebox)		
Advanced Audio Devices* (*firm: Clark Hill PLC)	IPR2014-01156	USPTO PTAB	2014 - 2016	P,t
(Patent 7,817)	502 related to a person	onal digital stereo playo	er)	
Advanced Audio Devices* (*firm: Clark Hill PLC)	IPR2014-01157	USPTO PTAB	2014 - 2016	P,t
(Patent 7,933,171 related to a personal digital stereo player)				
Advanced Audio Devices* (*firm: Clark Hill PLC)	IPR2014-01158	USPTO PTAB	2014 – 2016	P,t
(Patent 8,400,888 related to a personal digital stereo player)				

^{1 * =} Client

² P=Patent, C=Contract, ©=Copyright, TS=Trade Secret, AT=Antitrust; CA=Class Action; t=testified, TH=Trial/Hearing

#: **3882**

CURRICULUM VITAE

Joseph C. McAlexander III

CASE ¹	CASE NUMBER	LOCATION	YEAR	TYPE ²
One-E-Way* v. Sony, Sennheiser, BlueAnt, Creative Tech, Beats, Jawbone, Jabra (*firm: Knobbe Marten, Olson & Bear)	337-TA-943	ITC (Pender)	2014 - 2018	P,t
(Patents re	elated to bluetooth co	nfigured headphones)		
Omega* v. Calamp (Patents relat	6:13-cv-1950-Orl- 31DAB ted to vehicular contr	MD, FL ol systems and tracking	2015 - 2016	P,t,TH
AVM* v. Intel (*firm: Boies, Schiller & Flexner)	1:15-cv-00033- RGA	DE	2015 - 2016	P,t,TH
(Pat	ent related to dynami	e logic circuits)		
Allure Energy* v. Honeywell (*firm: McKool Smith)	1:15-cv-00079-RP	WDTX, Austin	2015 - 2017	P
	(Smart Thermo	ostat)		
IV* v. Toshiba (*firm: Desmarais)	13-cv-453-SLR- SRF	DE	2015 - 2017	P,t,TH
	(NAND Flas	sh)		
IMS v. Micron* (*Weil Gotchal/ Orrick)	1:14-cv-01480- RGA	DE	2015 - 2022	P,t
	Patents related to fla	sh memory)		
Honeywell v. Allure* (*firm: Dickinson Wright)	IPR201501248, 01251,01253	USPTO PTAB	2015 - 2017	Р
	(Smart Thermo	ostat)		
Blitzsafe* v Honda (*firm: Brown Rudnick)	2:15-cv-01274- JRG-RSP	EDTX, Marshall	2015 - 2017	P,t
(Patents re	elated to audio device	e integration systems)		
Blitzsafe* v Hyundai (*firm: Brown Rudnick)	2:15-cv-01275- JRG-RSP	EDTX, Marshall	2015 - 2017	P,t
(Patents re	elated to audio device	e integration systems)		

Joseph C. McAlexander III

Blitzsafe* v Nissan (*firm: Brown Rudnick) JRG-RSP EDTX, Marshall 2015 - 2017 P,t	CASE ¹	CASE NUMBER	LOCATION	YEAR	TYPE ²
(Patents related to audio device integration systems) Blitzsafe* v Toyota (*firm: Brown Rudnick) 2:15-cv-01277- EDTX, Marshall 2015 - 2017 P,t		2:15-ev-01276-	EDTY Marchall		D t
Blitzsafe* v Toyota (*firm: Brown Rudnick) JRG-RSP EDTX, Marshall 2015 - 2017 P,t (Patents related to audio device integration systems) Blitzsafe* v VW 2:15-cv-01278- EDTX, Marshall 2015 - 2017 P,t (*firm: Brown Rudnick) JRG-RSP EDTX, Marshall 2015 - 2017 P,t (Patents related to audio device integration systems) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Stealth (Patents related to audio device integration systems) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Oracle (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Vision Video (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Ft (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Ft (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Ft (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Uinted States (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Uinted States (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 4rd EDTX, Tyler (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Uinted States (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 4rd EDTX Marshall (Patents P,t)	. ``			2017	F,t
(*firm: Brown Rudnick) JRG-RSP EDTX, Marshall 2017 P,t (Patents related to audio device integration systems) Blitzsafe* v VW 2:15-cv-01278- EDTX, Marshall 2015 - 2017 P,t (*firm: Brown Rudnick) JRG-RSP EDTX, Marshall 2015 - 2017 P,t (Patents related to audio device integration systems) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Stealth 6:14-cv-00162 EDTX, Tyler 2015 - 2016 P (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Vision Video 6:14-cv-00161- JDL EDTX, Tyler 2015 - 2016 P (*firm: Kennedy Law) 6:14-cv-00725 EDTX, Tyler 2015 - 2017 P,t,T (*firm: Kennedy Law) 6:14-cv-00725 EDTX, Tyler 2015 - 2017 P,t,T (*firm: Kennedy Law) 1:15-cv-00501- Court of Federal Claims, DC 2015 - P,t,T (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) Hitachi v TPV* 2:14-cv-1121- EDTX Marshall 2015 - P EDTX Marshall 2015 - P P	(Patents r	related to audio device	e integration systems)		
(Patents related to audio device integration systems) Blitzsafe* v VW (*firm: Brown Rudnick) JRG-RSP EDTX, Marshall 2015 - 2017 P,t (Patents related to audio device integration systems) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Stealth (*firm: Kennedy Law) EDTX, Tyler 2015 - 2016 P (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Vision Video (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) EDTX, Tyler 2015 - 2016 P (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) P (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) Srd Eye Surveillance* v Ft Worth / e-Watch (*firm: Kennedy Law) EDTX, Tyler 2015 - 2017 P,t,T (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) Srd Eye Surveillance* v 1:15-cv-00501 Court of Federal Claims, DC Court of Federal Claims, DC (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) P,t,T (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) P,t,T (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) P,t,T (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) P,t,T (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) P,t,T (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) P,t,T (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) P,t,T (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) P,t,T (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) P,t,T (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) P,t,T (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) P,t,T (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) P,t,T (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) P,t,T (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) P,t,T (P,t,T) P,t,T (P,t,T) P,t,T (P,t,T) P,t,T (P,t,T) P,t,T (P,t,T)	Blitzsafe* v Toyota	2:15-cv-01277-	EDTY Manalasti	2015 -	D 4
Blitzsafe* v VW (*firm: Brown Rudnick) (Patents related to audio device integration systems) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Stealth (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Vision Video (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Vision Video (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Ft Worth / e-Watch (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Uinted States (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Uinted States (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 4rd Federal Claims, DC Court of Federal Claims, DC (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) Britachi v TPV* 2015 - P. R. T.	(*firm: Brown Rudnick)	JRG-RSP	EDIA, Marshall	2017	P,t
(*firm: Brown Rudnick) JRG-RSP EDTX, Marshall 2017 P,t (Patents related to audio device integration systems) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Stealth 6:14-cv-00162 EDTX, Tyler 2015 - 2016 P (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Vision Video (*firm: Kennedy Law) 6:14-cv-00161 - JDL EDTX, Tyler 2015 - 2016 P (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Ft Worth / e-Watch (*firm: Kennedy Law) 6:14-cv-00725 EDTX, Tyler 2015 - 2017 P,t,T (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Uinted States (*firm: Kennedy Law) 1:15-cv-00501 Court of Federal Claims, DC 2015 - P,t,T (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) Hitachi v TPV* 2:14-cv-1121 EDTX Marshall 2015 - P	(Patents r	related to audio device	e integration systems)		
(Patents related to audio device integration systems) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Stealth (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Vision Video (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Vision Video (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Ft Worth / e-Watch (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Ft Worth / e-Watch (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Uinted States (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 4rd EDTX, Tyler 2015 - 2016 P,t,T Court of Federal Claims, DC (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 4rd EDTX Marshall 2015 - P,t,T			FDTY Marchall		D t
3rd Eye Surveillance* v Stealth (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Vision Video (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Vision Video (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Ft Worth / e-Watch (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Uinted States (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 4rd Eye Surveillance* v Uinted States (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 4rd EDTX, Tyler 2015 - P,t,T 2015 - P,t,T 2015 - P	(*firm: Brown Rudnick)	JRG-RSP	EDIA, Maishan	2017	1,1
Stealth (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Vision Video (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Ft Worth / e-Watch (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Ft Worth / e-Watch (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v I:15-cv-00725 EDTX, Tyler 2015 - 2017 P,t,T (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v I:15-cv-00501- Court of Federal Claims, DC (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) Hitachi v TPV* 2015 - P,t,T	(Patents r	related to audio device	e integration systems)		
Stealth (*firm: Kennedy Law) JDL EDTX, Tyler 2016 P	3rd Eye Surveillance* v	6.14 ov 00162		2015	
(*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Vision Video (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Ft Worth / e-Watch (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Ft Worth / e-Watch (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Uinted States (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) Hitachi v TPV* 2:14-cv-1121- EDTX Marchall 2015 - P	Stealth		EDTX, Tyler		P
3rd Eye Surveillance* v Vision Video (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Ft Worth / e-Watch (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Ft Worth / e-Watch (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Uinted States (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 1:15-cv-00501- Court of Federal Claims, DC (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) Hitachi v TPV* 2:14-cv-1121- EDTY Marchall 2015 - P	(*firm: Kennedy Law)	JDL	-	2010	
Vision Video (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Ft Worth / e-Watch (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Ft Worth / e-Watch (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Uinted States (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 1:15-cv-00501- CFL Court of Federal Claims, DC P,t,T (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) Hitachi v TPV* 2:14-cv-1121- EDTY Marshall 2015 - P	(Patents related	to real-time image pro	ocessing to response ag	gency)	
(*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Ft Worth / e-Watch (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Uinted States (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 1:15-cv-00501- CFL Court of Federal Claims, DC P,t,T (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) Hitachi v TPV* 2015 - P,t,T		6:14-cy-00161-		2015 -	
(Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Ft Worth / e-Watch (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Uinted States (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) Court of Federal Claims, DC P,t,T (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) Hitachi v TPV* 2015 - P,t,T			EDTX, Tyler		P
3rd Eye Surveillance* v Ft Worth / e-Watch (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Uinted States (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) Court of Federal Claims, DC (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) Hitachi v TPV* 2015 - P,t,T		J			
Worth / e-Watch (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Uinted States (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 1:15-cv-00501- Court of Federal Claims, DC (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) Hitachi v TPV* 2:14-cv-1121- EDTY Marchall 2015 - P	(Patents related	to real-time image pro	ocessing to response ag	gency)	
Worth / e-Watch (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Uinted States (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) Court of Federal Claims, DC P,t,T Court of Federal Claims, DC (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) Hitachi v TPV* 2017 P,t,T 2015 - P,t,T				2015 -	
(Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) 3rd Eye Surveillance* v Uinted States (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) Court of Federal Claims, DC P,t,T (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) Hitachi v TPV* 2:14-cv-1121- EDTY Marchall 2015 - P		6:14-cv-00725	EDTX, Tyler		P,t,TH
3rd Eye Surveillance* v Uinted States (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) Hitachi v TPV* 2015 - P,t,T Court of Federal Claims, DC P,t,T EDTY Marshall 2015 - P	. `				
Uinted States (*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) Hitachi v TPV* 2015 - P,t,T Court of Federal Claims, DC P,t,T EDTY Marchall 2015 - P	(Patents related t	to real-time image pro	ocessing to response ag	gency)	
(*firm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) Hitachi v TPV* 2:14-cv-1121- EDTY Marchall 2015 - P,t,1 2015 - P,t,1 EDTY Marchall 2015 - P	•	1:15-cy-00501-	Court of Federal		
(*Tirm: Kennedy Law) (Patents related to real-time image processing to response agency) Hitachi v TPV* 2:14-cv-1121- EDTY Marchall 2015 - P				2015 -	P,t,TH
Hitachi v TPV* 2:14-cv-1121- EDTY Marchall 2015 - P		J	L		<u> </u>
HIIIX Marchall P	(Patents related	to real-time image pro	ocessing to response ag	gency)	
(*firm: O'Melveny & Myers) JRG-RSP EDIA, Waishan 2016 P	Hitachi v TPV*	2:14-cv-1121-	EDTY Marchall	2015 -	D
	(*firm: O'Melveny & Myers)	JRG-RSP	LDIA, Maishan	2016	
(Patent related to LCD power and signal board arrangement)	(Patent related	d to LCD power and s	signal board arrangeme	ent)	
(Patent related to LCD power and signal board arrangement)	(*firm: O'Melveny & Myers)	JRG-RSP		201	

Joseph C. McAlexander III

CASE ¹	CASE NUMBER	LOCATION	YEAR	TYPE ²
Nidec Motor v Broad Ocean				
Motor*	2:15-cv-00443	EDTX, Marshall	2016	P
(*firm: Locke Lord)	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	J
(Patent re	elated to blower moto	or control for HVAC)		
TracBeam* v T-Mobile (*firm: Dovel & Luner)	6:14-cv-678-RWS	EDTX, Tyler	2016	P,t
(Patents	related to geographic	c location estimates)		
SMS v Emerson*	2:15-cv-00032-	EDTV M 1 11	2016	D
(*firm: Davidson Berquist)	RWS-RSP	EDTX, Marshall	2016	P
(Patent related	d to a method of mon	itoring a protected space	ce)	
Prong v Sorias*				
(*firm: Gottlieb, Rackman &	IPR2015-01317	USPTO PTAB	2016	P
Reisman)				
(Pater	nt related to attachabl	e mobile charger)		
Skyline Software Systems v		Circuit Court		
Duane Morris*	2015-08868	Fairfax County	2016	P
(*firm: Venable)	(3D mapping tech	.	.l	J
	(3D mapping teer	miology)		
SignalQuest v Oncque*	11-cv-00392-JL	NH	2016	P
(*firm: Duane Morris)]	.		J
(Pa	atents related to vibra	ition switches)		
TiVo v Samsung*	2:15-CV-1503	EDTX, Marshall	2016	P
(*firm: Fish & Richardson)			L	<u> </u>
(Patent	related to multimedia	a signal processing)		
Richtek v uPi*	C 09-05659 WHA	NDCA, SF	2016	P
(*firm: Hogan Lovells)	LB	L		
(Patents related to o	converter channel cur	rent balance and PWM	circuit)	
Paice* v VW, Porsche, Audi	227 TA 000	ITC	2016 –	D
(*firm: Fish & Richardson)	337-TA-998	ITC	2017	Р
(Paten	its related to hybid ve	ehicle technology)		

Joseph C. McAlexander III

CASE ¹	CASE NUMBER	LOCATION	YEAR	TYPE ²
Biosonix v Hydrowave*	4:16-cv-00139-	EDTX, Sherman	2016 -	P
(*firm: Bradley)	RC		2017	
(Patent related	d to underwater sound	l listening and generation	on)	
Univ of S FL* v Fujifilm	8:16-cv-01194-	1 C T T	2015	_
(*firm: Dickinson Wright)	MSS-TGW	MDFL, Tampa	2017	P
(Patent related to	o Workstation Interface	ce – Digital Mammogr	aphy)	
Univ of S FL * v BRIT	8:16-cv-03109-	MDFL, Tampa	2017	P,t
(*firm: Dickinson Wright)	MSS-TGW	, 1		,
(Patent related to	Workstation Interfa	ce – Digital Mammogr	aphy)	
Nokia v Apple	337-TA-1038	ITC	2017	P
<u> </u>	elated to controllable	bias mode oscillator)		
Univ of IL v Micron*	2:11-cv-02288-	CDIL Danis Falls	2017	D.C.
(*firm: Fish & Richardson)	SLD-JAG	CDIL, Rock Falls	2017	P, C
(Patents and contract i	related to use of deute	erium in semicondutor	processing)
ZiiLabs v AMD*	337-TA-1037	ITC-Shaw	2017	P
(*firm: O'Melveny & Myers)	tents related to graph	cs processors)	.l	J
· ·	- Suppose	processis)		1
MTL* v Sandisk (*firm: Tensegrity)	337-TA-1034	ITC-Lord	2017	P,t
	(Patents related to fla	sh memory)		'
Razberi v Dynacolor*	01-16-0003-3734	AAA	2017 - 2018	C,TS,t,
(*firm: McDole Williams) (Arbiti	ration related to contr	l actual obligations)	[2016	<u> 111</u>
(7 Holis				
Seed Spring* v Microsoft (*firm: Dovel)	6:17-cv-427-RWS	EDTX, Tyler	2017	P
	Patents related to Wire	elss Location)		·
TracBeam* v Microsoft	6:17-cv-426-RWS	EDTX, Tyler	2017	P
(*firm: Dovel)	J			<u> </u>
(F	Patents related to Wire	elss Location)		

Joseph C. McAlexander III

CASE ¹	CASE NUMBER	LOCATION	YEAR	TYPE ²
TracBeam* v Cisco (*firm: Dovel)	6:17-cv-525-RWS	EDTX, Tyler	2017 - 2018	P
(P	atents related to Wire	elss Location)		
Arris* v Sony (*firm: Fish & Richardson)	337-TA-1060	ITC-Lord	2017	P,t
(P	atent related to Broad	deast Signals)		
Vivint* v Alarm.com (*firm: Weil)	2:15-cv-00392- CW-BCW related to Electronic	UT Message Delivery)	2017 - 2023	P,t
,		Tyressage Benvery)		
Blitzsafe* v Bosch (*firm: Brown Rudnick)	2:17-cv-00105- JRG	EDTX, Marshall	2017 - 2019	P,t
(Patents re	elated to audio device	e integration systems)		
Blitzsafe* v Daimler & Mercedes (*firm: Brown Rudnick)	2:17-cv-00422- JRG	EDTX, Marshall	2017 – 2019	P
{	elated to audio device	e integration systems)		٠
Blitzsafe* v BMW (*firm: Brown Rudnick)	2:17-cv-00418- JRG	EDTX, Marshall	2017 - 2019	P
(Patents re	elated to audio device	e integration systems)		
Blitzsafe* v Mazda (*firm: Brown Rudnick)	2:17-cv-00423- JRG	EDTX, Marshall	2017 - 2019	P
(Patents re	elated to audio device	e integration systems)		
Blitzsafe* v Mitsubishi (*firm: Brown Rudnick)	2:17-cv-00430- JRG	EDTX, Marshall	2017 - 2019	P
(Patents re	elated to audio device	e integration systems)		
Blitzsafe* v Subaru (*firm: Brown Rudnick)	2:17-cv-00421- JRG	EDTX, Marshall	2017 - 2019	P,t
(Patents re	elated to audio device	e integration systems)		

Joseph C. McAlexander III

CASE ¹	CASE NUMBER	LOCATION	YEAR	TYPE
Blitzsafe* v Tata & Jaguar	2:17-cv-00424-	EDTX, Marshall	2017 -	P
(*firm: Brown Rudnick)	JRG	 	2019	J
(Patents i	erated to audio device	e integration systems)		
Blitzsafe* v Volvo	2:17-cv-00420-	EDTX, Marshall	2017 -	P
(*firm: Brown Rudnick)	JRG		2019	
(Patents r	elated to audio device	e integration systems)		
AGIS* v Huawei	2:17-00513-JRG	EDTV Marchall	2017 -	D +
(*firm: Brown Rudnick)	2.17-00313-JKG	EDTX, Marshall	2018	P,t
(Patents related to F	Patents related to inter	active remote commu	nications)	
AGIS* v HTC	2.15.0051.4 77.0		2017 -	
(*firm: Brown Rudnick)	2:17-00514-JRG	EDTX, Marshall	2019	P,t
	atents related to inter	active remote commu	nications)	.'
AGIS* v LG			2017 -	
(*firm: Brown Rudnick)	2:17-00515-JRG	EDTX, Marshall	2017 -	P,t
(Patents related to F	Patents related to inter	active remote commu	nications)	·
AGIS* v Apple	2 17 00516 PDG	EDTY M. 1. II	2017 -	
(*firm: Brown Rudnick)	2:17-00516-JRG	EDTX, Marshall	2019	P,t
(Patents related to F	Patents related to inter	active remote commu	nications)	
AGIS* v ZTE	2 15 00515 TD G	ED TY 1 1 11	2017 -	
(*firm: Brown Rudnick)	2:17-00517-JRG	EDTX, Marshall	2019	P
(Patents related to F	Patents related to inter	active remote commu	nications)	
Arya v Dufossat*	4.16 02505	CDVV II	2017	Tro o
(*firm: Robert Sueiro)	4:16-cv-03595	SDYX, Houston	2017 -	TS,C,t
	(Dispute related to Tr	ade Secrets)		
	2:17-cv-00441-		2017 -	
Seven Networks v Samsung*		EDTX, Marshall		P,t
Seven Networks v Samsung* (*firm: Fish & Richardson)	JRG	, ,	2018	_ ,-

CURRICULUM VITAE Joseph C. McAlexander III

CASE ¹	CASE NUMBER	LOCATION	YEAR	TYPE ²
ZF Micro Solutions* v. Tat				
Investment	1-09-CV-134970	Santa Clara County	2018	C,t,TH
(*firm: Berger)				
	(Business tort, unfa	ir practice)		
Univ of S FL* v Fujifilm (*firm: Dickinson Wright)	3:18-cv-00215- AVC	Connecticut	2018	P,t
(Patent related to	Workstation Interfa	ce – Digital Mammogra	aphy)	
Univ of S FL* v BRIT (*firm: Dickinson Wright)	3:18-CV-0250-K	NDTX, Dallas	2018 - 2019	P,t
(Patent related to	Workstation Interfa	ce – Digital Mammogra	aphy)	
Cypress Insurance v Hynix* (*firm: Bird Marella)	C17-467 RAJ	WDWA, Seattle	2018 - 2019	C,t,TH
(Contr	act dispute related to	product delivery)		
Belliveau* v BARCO (*firm: McKool)	1:17-CV-00379- SS	WDTX, Austin	2018	C,t
	Patent portfolio licein	sing dispute)		'
MPS* v Intersil	16-1125-LPS	DE	2018 -	TS,t
(*firm: Perkins	10-1123-LFS		2021	13,1
	(Trade Secret d	ispute)		
GoGo v Squire Patton Boggs* (*firm: Cozen O'Connor)	2016-L-007789	Cook County, IL	2018 - 2019	P
	(Patent Malpraction	ce claim)		
X2Y* v Intel (*firm: Dovel)	3:18-cv-1394-HZ	DOR, Portland	2018 - 2020	P
(Patent	s related to package	layer metalization)		
Power Integration* v ON Semi (*firm: Fish & Richardson)	5:16-cv-06371- BLF	NDCA, San Jose	2019	P,t
(Patent	s related to power co	nverter regulation)		

Joseph C. McAlexander III

CASE ¹	CASE NUMBER	LOCATION	YEAR	TYPE ²
ON Semi v Power	1:17-cv-00247-			
Integration*	LPS-CJB	DE	2019	P,t
(*firm: Fish & Richardson)	<u></u>]
(Paten	ts related to power co	nverter regulation)		
Semcon* v Amazon	2:18-CV-00192-	EDTX, Marshall	2019	P
(*firm: Brown Rudnick)	JRG		l	J
(Pate	ent related to Adaptive	e Power Control)		
Semcon* v Asustek	2:18-CV-00193-	EDTX, Marshall	2019	P
(*firm: Brown Rudnick)	JRG			J
(Pat	ent related to adaptive	e power control)		
Semcon* v Kyocera	2:18-CV-00197-	EDTX, Marshall	2019	P
(*firm: Brown Rudnick)	JRG			<u> </u>
(Pat	ent related to adaptive	e power control)		
Innovation Sciences* v	4:18-cv-00474-		2019 -	
Amazon	ALM	EDTX, Sherman	2019 -	P,t,TH
(*firm: Davidson Berquist)			2020	<u> </u>
(Pate	ent related to Internet	communication)		
Innovation Sciences* v HTC	4:18-cv-00476-	EDEM CI	2019 -	
(*firm: Davidson Berquist)	ALM	EDTX, Sherman	2020	P,t
(Pate	ent related to Internet	communication)		
Innovation Sciences* v	4:18-cv-00475-		2019 -	
Resideo	ALM	EDTX, Sherman	2019 -	P,t
(*firm: Davidson Berquist)	ALWI		2020	
(Pate	ent related to Internet	communication)		
Innovation Sciences* v Vector	4:18-cv-00477-	EDTY Chaman	2019	P
(*firm: Davidson Berquist)	ALM	EDTX, Sherman	2019	r
(Pate	ent related to Internet	communication)		

#: 3890

CURRICULUM VITAE

Joseph C. McAlexander III

CASE ¹	CASE NUMBER	LOCATION	YEAR	TYPE ²
CXT* v JC Penny (*firm: Brown Rudnick)	2:18-cv-00171- RWS-RSP (lead) 2:18-CV-233-	EDTX, Marshall	2019 - 2020	P,t
(Patents related to	RWS-RSP storage management	and information distrib	oution)	l
Photonics* v Lenovo (*firm: Brown Rudnick)	1:18-cv-00489- MN	DE	2019	P
	Patents related to GD	S-II Layout)		·
Quest NetTech Corp* v Apple (*firm: Brown Rudnick)	2:19-CV-00118- JRG	EDTX, Marshall	2019 - 2020	P
(Patent re	lated to programmab	le credit card system)		
Pilot, Inc.* v Schumacher (*firm: Bradford)	1:19-cv-05982- EEC	NDIL, Eastern Div	2019 - 2921	P
(F	atents related to batt	ery chargers)		'
Vocalife* v Amazon (*firm: Brown Rudnick)	2:19-cv-00123- JRG	EDTX, Marshall	2019 - 2020	P,t,TH
	atent related to micro	ophone array)		'
Semcon* v Vuitton (*firm: Brown Rudnick)	2:19-CV-00122- JRG	EDTX, Marshall	2019	P,t
(Pate	ent related to adaptive	e power control)		·
Semcon* v Shenzhen (*firm: Brown Rudnick)	2:18-CV-00196- JRG	EDTX, Marshall	2019	P,t
(Pate	ent related to adaptive	e power control)		·
Ultravision* v GoVision (*firm: Brown Rudnick)	2:18-cv-00100- JRG-RSP	EDTX, Marshall	2019	P
(Patents relate	d to modular display	panels and LED lighting	ng)	
Ultravision* v Shenzhen (*firm: Brown Rudnick)	2:18-cv-00103- JRG-RSP	EDTX, Marshall	2019	P
(Patents relate	d to modular display	panels and LED lighting	ng)	

Joseph C. McAlexander III

CASE ¹	CASE NUMBER	LOCATION	YEAR	TYPE ²
Ultravision* v Aoto	2:18-cv-00113-	EDTX, Marshall	2019	P
(*firm: Brown Rudnick)	JRG-RSP		<u>.L</u>	J
(Patents related	d to modular display	panels and LED lighting	ng)	
Ultravision* v Leyard	2:18-cv-00102-	EDTX, Marshall	2019	P
(*firm: Brown Rudnick)	JRG-RSP	<u> </u>	.L	
(Patents related	d to modular display	panels and LED lighting	ng)	
Ultravision* v NEC	2:18-cv-00150-	EDTY Marchall	2019	P
(*firm: Brown Rudnick)	JRG-RSP	EDTX, Marshall	2019	r
(Patents related	l to Modular Display	Panels and LED lighti	ng)	
Ultravision* v Prismaflex	2:18-cv-00108-			
(*firm: Brown Rudnick)	JRG-RSP	EDTX, Marshall	2019	P
	h	panels and LED lighting	.L na)	
`	a to modulal display	panels and LLD lighting	iig)	
Ultravision* v Unilumin	2:18-cv-00116-	EDTX, Marshall	2019	P
(*firm: Brown Rudnick)	JRG-RSP		<u>.l</u>	
(Patents related	d to modular display	panels and LED lighting	ng)	
Ultravision* v Yaham	2:18-cv-00118-	ED (TV) (1 11	2010	
(*firm: Brown Rudnick)	JRG-RSP	EDTX, Marshall	2019	P
<u> </u>	d to modular display	panels and LED lighting	ng)	'
Ultravision* v Absen	2:18-cv-00112-	EDTY M 1 11	2010	D
(*firm: Brown Rudnick)	JRG-RSP	EDTX, Marshall	2019	P
(Patents relate	d to modular display	panels and LED lighting	ng)	
Birchett* v City of Fort Worth	DC-19-06941	Dallas County	2019	C,t
(*firm: Kennedy Law)	DC-19-00941	Danas County	2019	<u></u> C,ι
	(Whistleblow	ver)		
Innovation Sciences* v HTC			2020 -	
(*firm: Davidson Berquist)	337-TA-1180	ITC	2021	P,t
` -	nt related to wireless	communication)		•'
		,		

CASE ¹	CASE NUMBER	LOCATION	YEAR	TYPE ²
Innovation Sciences* v				
Resideo	337-TA-1180	ITC	2020	P,t
(*firm: Davidson Berquist)				
(Pate	ent related to Internet	communication)		
CXT* v Neiman Marcus (*firm: Brown Rudnick)	2:19-cv-00270- RWS-RSP	EDTX, Marshall	2020	P
{	storage management	t and information distri	bution)	
CXT* v General Nutrition (*firm: Brown Rudnick)	2:19-cv-00271- RWS-RSP	EDTX, Marshall	2020	Р
(Patents related to	storage management	t and information distri	bution)	
CXT* v Steven Madden (*firm: Brown Rudnick)	2:19-cv-00272- RWS-RSP	EDTX, Marshall	2020	P
(Patents related to	storage management	t and information distri	bution)	
Consolidated* v Amazon (*firm: Devlin Law)	1:19-cv-01715- RGA	DE	2020 – 2021	P,t
[atents related to on-l	ine shopping)		'
Consolidated* v Ford	1:19-cv-01916-	DE	2020 -	D.
(*firm: Devlin Law)	RGA	DE	2021	P,t
(F	atents related to on-l	ine shopping)		
Consolidated* v JC Penney (*firm: Devlin Law)	1:19-cv-01918- RGA	DE	2020 - 2021	P,t
	atents related to on-l	ine shopping)		'
Consolidated* v Ebay	1:19-cv-01580-	DE	2020 -	P,t
(*firm: Devlin Law) (F	RGA Patents related to on-l	ine shopping)	2021	J
Consolidated* v Walmart	1:19-cv-01581-	DE	2020 -	D +
(*firm: Devlin Law)	RGA	DE	2021	P,t
(F	atents related to on-l	ine shopping)		

Joseph C. McAlexander III

CASE ¹	CASE NUMBER	LOCATION	YEAR	TYPE ²
Semcon* v TCT Mobile	2:18-CV-00194-	EDTX, Marshall	2020	P
(*firm: Brown Rudnick)	JRG			<u> </u>
(Pate	ent related to adaptive	e power control)		
BNR v Samsung*	2:19-cv-00286-	EDTX, Marshall	2020	P
(*firm: Fish & Richardson)	JRG		2020	
(Patents r	elated to portable cor	mmunication devices)		
AGIS* v Google	2:19-cv-00361-	EDTY Maushall	2020 -	D.4
(*firm: BRudnick, Fabricant)	JRG	EDTX, Marshall	2022	P,t
(Patents re	lated to interactive re	mote communications)		
AGIS* v Waze	2:19-cv-00359-	ED TV 1 1 11	2020 -	
(*firm: BRudnick, Fabricant)	JRG	EDTX, Marshall	2022	P,t
(Patents re	lated to interactive re	mote communications)		
Burke* v City of Fort Worth	DC 10 07220	D 11 C 4	2020	
(*firm: Kennedy Law)	DC-19-07239	Dallas County	2020	C,t
	(Whistleblov	ver)		
Infinera v Oyster Optics*	IPR2020-00325	PTAB	2020	D t
(*firm: Davidson Berquist)	IPR2020-00323	PIAB	2020	P,t
(Patent r	related to fiber optic t	elecommunications)		
Blitzsafe* v GM	2:19-cv-00377-	EDTV Moreholl	2020	D t
(*firm: Fabricant)	JRG	EDTX, Marshall	2020	P,t
(Patents r	elated to audio device	e integration systems)		
Blitzsafe* v FCA	2:19-cv-00378-	EDTY Morehall	2020	D t
(*firm: Fabricant)	JRG	EDTX, Marshall	2020	P,t
(Patents r	elated to audio device	e integration systems)		
Kuster v Western Digital*	6:20 00562	WDTV W	2020	D.4
(*firm: Shearman & Sterling)	6:20-cv-00563	WDTX, Waco	2020	P,t
(Pat	tent related to memor	y stack storage)	·	

Joseph C. McAlexander III

CASE ¹	CASE NUMBER	LOCATION	YEAR	TYPE ²
TruSun* v Eaton	6:19-cv-00656-	WDTX, Waco	2020 -	
(*firm: Duane Morris)	ADA	wDiA, waco	2022	P,t
(Pate	nt related to a light-go	enerating system)		
RJR v PMI*	1:20-cv-00393-	EDVA Alexandria	2020 -	D + TII
(*firm: Latham Watkins)	LO-TCB	EDVA, Alexandria	2022	P,t,TH
(Pa	atents related to e-cig	arette controls)		
Koss* v Apple et al	6:20-cv-00665	WDTX, Waco	2020 -	P,t
(*firm: K L Gates)	0.20-00-0003	WDIA, Waco	2022	1,1
(Pat	ents related to Wirele	ess Headphones)		
Koss* v Bose	6:20-cv-00661	WDTX, Waco	2020	P
(*firm: K L Gates)			2020	
(Pat	ents related to Wirele	ess Headphones)		
Ipcom v Sprint et al (Nokia*)	2:20-cv-321	EDTX, Marshall	2020 -	P
(*Alston Bird)			2022	
(Pate	nts related to CDMA	communication)		
Ipcom v Verizon et al			2020 -	
(Nokia*)	2:20-cv-323	EDTX, Marshall	2020 -	P
(*Alston Bird)			2022	
(Pate	nts related to CDMA	communication)		
Pilot* v CarKu	7131799991	Amazon Arbitration	2020	P,t
(*firm: Bradford / Sheridan)				
	(Patent related to jur	np starters)		
MPS* v Meraki	6:2020cv00876-	WDTX, Waco	2020 -	P,TS,C
(*firm: Perkins Coie)	ADA		2022	1,15,0
(Patents	s related to synchrono	ous rectifier control)		
AGIS* v Uber	2:21-cv-00026-	EDTX, Marshall	2021	P
(*firm: Fabricant)	JRG-RSP)		<u>.l</u>	
(Patents re	lated to interactive re	mote communications)		

Joseph C. McAlexander III

CASE ¹	CASE NUMBER	LOCATION	YEAR	TYPE ²
AGIS* v WhatsApp (*firm: Fabricant)	2:21-cv-00029- JRG-RSP)	EDTX, Marshall	2021	P
	-	mote communications	s)	
One E-Way* v Apple (*firm: Knobbe Martin)	2:20-cv-06339- JSK-PD	CDCA	2021 - 2023	P,t
	ent related to Bluetoc	oth Headphones)	2023	
BMW v Omega Patents* (*firm: Allen Dyer)	IPR2021-00181	PTAB	2021 -	P,t
	(Patent related to re	mote start)		
Pilot* v The NOCO Co (*firm: Bradford / Sheridan)	2:20-cv-01452- SRB	AZ	2021 - 2023	P,t
.,	(Patents related to au	to chargers)		
Express Mobile* v Atlassian (*firm: Mololamken)	6:20-cv-00805- ADA	WDTX, Austin	2021	P
(Patents re	lated to browser-base	ed web site generation)	
AGIS* v Lyft (*firm: Fabricant)	2:21-cv-00024- JRG-RSP	EDTX, Marshall NDCA	2021 - 2022	P
(Patents re	lated to interactive re	mote communications	s)	
AGIS* v T-Mobile (*firm: Fabricant)	2:21-cv-00072- JRG-RSP	EDTX, Marshall	2021	P
(Patents re	lated to interactive re	mote communications	s)	
Altria* v RJR (*firm: Weil Gotshal)	1:20-cv-00472	MDNC	2021 - 2022	P,t,TH
	(Patents related t	o e-cig)		
One E-Way* v Apple (*firm: Knobbe Martin)	IPR2021-00283 IPR2021-00286	PTAB	2021 - 2022	P,t
(Pat	ent related to Bluetoc	oth Headphones)		

#: 3296

CURRICULUM VITAE

Joseph C. McAlexander III

CASE ¹	CASE NUMBER	LOCATION	YEAR	TYPE ²
	IPR2021-00650			
	IPR2021-00652			
	IPR2021-00725			
Altria* v RJR	IPR2021-00744	PTAB	2021 -	P,t,TH
(*firm: Weil Gotshal)	IPR2021-00745	ITAD	2022	1,1,111
	IPR2021-00746			
	IPR2021-00747			
	IPR2021-00793			<u> </u>
	(Patents related to e-	cig control)		
Kiwi Connection* v Anker	9510200921	A A A	2021	D.4
(*firm: Bradford)	8519300821	Amazon Arbitration	2021	P,t
(Pat	ent related to bidirect	ional connector)		
Kiwi Connection* v JBL				<u> </u>
	8674855301	Amazon Arbitration	2021	P,t
(*firm: Bradford)	lent related to bidirect	ional connector)	.L	J
(rai	ent related to bidirect	ional connector)		
Platform Science v	IPR2020-0187		2021 –	
Omnitracs*	IPR2020-1517	PTAB	2021 –	P,t
(*firm: Kirkland & Ellis)	IPR2020-1518		2022	
(Pat	ent related to critical	event reporting)		
Netlist v Samsung*	0.20 002 MGG	CDCA C 4	2021	G.4
(*firm: Bird Marella)	8:20-cv-993-MCS	CDCA, Southern	2021	C,t
	(Contract disp	oute)		·
	IPR 2021-00119		2021	
Monterey Research* v	IPR 2021-00120	PTAB	2021 -	P,t
(*firm: Desmarais)	IPR 2021-00172		2022	,
(Pater	ts related to semicon	ductor processing)	·	'
	IPR2021-00255			
	IPR2021-00297			
Apple / Bose v Koss*	IPR2021-00305	PTAB	2021 -	P,t
(*firm: K L Gates)	IPR2021-00381		2022	1,0
	IPR2021-00592			
(Pat	ents related to Wirele	ess Headphones)	.L	
(1 /		

Joseph C. M^cAlexander III

CASE ¹	CASE NUMBER	LOCATION	YEAR	TYPE ²
Vocalife* v Amazon2	2:20-cv-00401-	EDTX, Marshall	2021 -	P,t
(*firm: Fabricant)	JRG-RSP		2023	٠,٠
(F	Patent related to micro	ophone array)		
Vocalife* v Google	2:21-cv-00124-	EDTV Monsholl	2021 -	D.4
(*firm: Fabricant)	JRG-RSP	EDTX, Marshall	2023	P,t
(F	Patent related to micro	ophone array)		
Luxshare* v Amphenol	IDD 2022 00122	DTAD	2021 -	D.
(*firm: K L Gates)	IPR2022-00132	PTAB	2022	P,t
(Patent	related to high perfo	rmance connector)		
Vervain v Micron*	6:20-cv-487-	WDTV W	2021 -	D.4
(*firm: Orrick)	ADA	WDTX, Waco	2023	P,t
(Pater	nts related to MLC &	SLC endurance)		
Express Mobile* v Dropbox	3:21-cv-01145	NDCA	2021	P
(*firm: Mololamken)			L	
(Patents re	lated to browser-base	ed web site generation)	
Lexmark v Universal	8:18-cv-1047-T-		2021 -	
Imaging*	17AEP	MDFL, Tampa	2023	P
(*firm: Allen Dyer)				
(Patents	related to printer cart	ridge authentication)		
	IPR2021-00777			
Pilot* v The NOCO Co	IPR2021-01232	PTAB	2021	P,t
(*firm: Bradford / Sheridan)	IPR2023-00167			,
	IPR2023-00810			<u> </u>
	(Patents related to au	to chargers)		
Delta T* v MacroAir	5:20-cv-00728-	CDCA IA	2022 -	D
(*firm: Latham/VedderPrice)	GW-GJS	CDCA, LA	2023	P
(Pate	nts related to fan sen	sors and control)		
MPS* v Dong & Sheng	4 :20-cv-6752-	NCCA, Oakland	2022 -	P, TS
(*firm: Perkins Coie)	JSW	INCCA, Oakiallu	2023	r, 13
(Patents	related to synchrono	ous rectifier control)		

Joseph C. McAlexander III

CASE ¹	CASE NUMBER	LOCATION	YEAR	TYPE ²
Vocalife* v Sonos	2:21-cv-00129-	EDTX, Marshall	2022	P,t
(*firm: Fabricant)	JRG		2022	Γ,ι
(F	Patent related to micro	ophone array)		
Vocalife* v Bose	2:21-cv-00128-	EDTX, Marshall	2022	P,t
(*firm: Fabricant)	JRG	L	2022	۱ ,۱
(F	atent related to micro	ophone array)		
Enovsys* v T-Mobile	2:21-cv-00368-	EDTV Marchall	2022	D t
(*firm: Eichmann)	JRG	EDTX, Marshall	2022	P,t
(Patents related to p	recise location deteri	nination and secure dis	sclosure)	
Enovsys* v Verizon	2:21-cv-00315-	EDTX, Marshall	2022	P,t
(*firm: Eichmann)	JRG-RSP		<u> </u>	1,1
(Patents related to p	recise location deteri	mination and secure dis	sclosure)	
Lyft v AGIS*	5:21-cv-04653-	NIDCA C. I	2022 -	D
(*firm: Fabricant)	BLF	NDCA, San Jose	2023	P
(Patents rel	ated to interactive re-	mote communications)		
Vocalife* v Amazon	IDD 2021 01221	DT 4 D	2022	
(*firm: Fabricant)	IPR2021-01331	PTAB	2022	P,t
(F	atent related to micro	ophone array)		
MPS* v Meraki	4:22-cv-01986		2022 -	
(*firm: Perkins Coie)	JSW	NDCA, Oakland	2023	P,TS,C
	related to synchrono	ous rectifier control)		
	,	,		
Signode v Polychem*	1:22-cv-00519-	DE	2022	р
(*firm: Latham)	UNA	DE	2022	P
(Pat	ents related to a strap	ping apparatus)		
Pilot* v Hulkman	10407111071		2022	
(*firm: BRADFORD)	10427111251	Amazon Arbitration	2022	P,t
	(Patent related to jur	np starters)		.'
	`	• /		

CURRICULUM VITAE

CASE ¹	CASE NUMBER	LOCATION	YEAR	TYPE ²
Netlist v Samsung* (*firm: Fish & Richardson)	2:21-cv-463	EDTX, Marshall	2022 - 2024	P,t,TH
(Patents related to DIMM DD)	R3 signal timing, pov	wer management, and r	ank multip	lication)
Orange* v Autel (*firm: Sughrue Mion)	2:21-cv-240	EDTX, Marshall	2022 - 2023	P,t,TH
(Patent rel	ated to Tire Pressure	Monitoring Systems)		
Bell Power v MPS* (*firm: Perkins Coie)	6 :21-cv-00655- ADA	WDTX, Waco	2022 -	P
(Patents re	elated to power conve	erter/regulator control)		
VPR v Jupiter* (*firm: Noroozi PC)	2:20-CV-02185- DJH	AZ	2023 -	P,t
(Patent related	to electronic vape de	evice with air flow sens	sor)	
Multimodal* v Guangdong (*firm: Fabricant)	2:21-cv-00436- JRG-RSP	EDTX, Marshall	2023	P
(Patents related to	communication ove	r a network, call comp	letion)	
Multimodal v ZTE (*firm: Fabricant)	2:21-cv-00437- JRG-RSP	EDTX, Marshall	2023	P
(Patents related to	o communication ove	r a network, call comp	letion)	
Entropic* v Charter Communications et al. (*firm: K L Gates)	2 :22-cv-00125- JRG	EDTX, Marshall	2022	P
(Patents related	to modems and broa	dband content distribu	tion)	
Oasis Tooling* v Global Foundries U.S. (*firm: Kramer Levin)	22-00312-CJB	DE	2022 - 2024	P,t
(Patents	related to chip design	n process workflow)		
Oasis Tooling* v Siemens Industry Software (*firm: Kramer Levin)	22-00151-CJB	DE	2022 - 2024	P,t
(Patents	related to chip design	n process workflow)		

Joseph C. McAlexander III

CASE ¹	CASE NUMBER	LOCATION	YEAR	TYPE ²	
AGIS* ITC (*firm: Fabricant)	337-TA-1347	ITC	2023	P	
(Patents related to Location-Sharing Systems)					
Monterey* v Nanya	19-2090-NIQA- LAS	DE	2023 – 2024	P	
(*firm: Desmarais) (Patents	s directed to semicon	l ductor technology)		J	
,					
Geotab v Omega* (*firm: Allen Dyer)	IPR2023-00504	PTAB	2023	P,t	
{	related to multi-vehic	cle communication)		.l	
`		,			
Adv Lighting Concepts* v	IDD 2022 01264	DT A D	2023	P	
mate (*firm: Munsch)	IPR2023-01264	PTAB			
	(Patent related to LE	ED drivers)	-L		
	1	, T			
SitePro* v Waterbridge (*firm: Perkins Coie)	6:23-cv-00115- ADA-DTG	WDTX, Waco	2023	P,TS,C	
{		o remote delivery cont	rol)	J	
· ·					
Nanya v Monterey*	EPR 6,825,526C1	USPTO	2023	P,t	
(*firm: Botos Churchill)	EPR 6,680,516 directed to semicon	ductor technology)		J	
(1 atenta	s directed to sellicon	ductor technology)			
ICPillar* v ARM	6_23-cv-00115-	WDTX, Austin	2023 -	P	
(*firm: Eichmann)	ADA-DTG	<u> </u>	2024		
(Patents i	related to computer in	nethod for IC design)			
GoTV Streaming v Netflix*	EPR 8478245	USPTO	2023	P,t	
(*firm: Wilkie Farr)	EPR 8989715				
(Patent relat	ed to rendering conte	ent on a wireless device	e)		
Greenthread v MPS*	22 570 DCA	DE	2024	P	
(*firm: Perkins Coie)	23-579-RGA	DE	2024	^r	
(Patents related	to semiconductor str	ucture with graded dop	oing)		

CASE ¹	CASE NUMBER	LOCATION	YEAR	TYPE ²	
MPS* v REED (*firm: Perkins Coie)	23-1155-JFM	DE	2023 -	P,t	
	lnt related to bootstra	n refresh control)		J	
(rate)	in related to bootstraj	p refresh control)			
Verizon v Omega*	IPR202301162	PTAB	2023 -	P,t	
(*firm: Allen Dyer)			2023 -	Γ,ι	
(Patent	(Patent related to multi-vehicle communication)				
MOSAID v MediaTek*	2:23-cv-00129-		2023 -	T_	
(*firm: Fish & Richardson	JRG-RSP	EDTX, Marshall	2024	P	
(Pate	nts related to IC Pow	er Management)			
C TVC : NI (C) *	2 22 07556	T	 	<u> </u>	
GoTV Streaming v Netflix* (*firm: Wilkie Farr)	2 :22-cv-07556- RGK-SHK	CDCA	2023	P	
{	. 4	ent on a wireless device	.t	J	
(1 atont relat	ed to rendering conte	int on a wheress device	·)		
Lifetime* v Qima	2:23-cv-00216-	EDTX, Marshall	2024	P,t	
(*firm: Fabricant)	JRG		2024	1,,,	
(Patents related to Quality Management)					
Stellar* v Motorola Solutions	4:23-cv-00750-	EDTY GI	2024	n.	
(*firm: Devlin)	SDJ	EDTX, Sherman	2024 -	P	
(Pate	nts related to write p	rotect recording)			
Enovsys* v Lyft					
(*firm: Seth Law)	23-cv-05157-EJD	NDCA, San Jose	2024	P	
{>	tents related to mobil	e unit tracking)	_L	-'	
L D. C. Zoniii. d	I VDD 2024 00456	T		T	
ARM v ICPillar*	IPR2024-00476	PTAB	2024	P,t	
(*firm: Lowenstein)	IPR2024-00566	eathed for IC design)	.l	J	
(ratents i	elated to computer if	nethod for IC design)			
Maxell v TCL*	5:23-CV-00108-	EDTY Toyonkono	2024 -	D t	
(*firm: King & Spalding)	RWS-JBB	EDTX, Texarkana	2024 -	P,t	
(Patents related to image display apparatus)					
Enovsys* v Uber	22 04540 575	NDC1 C Y	2024		
(*firm: Seth Law)	23-cv-04549-EJD	NDCA, San Jose	2024	P	
(Patents related to mobile unit tracking)					

CASE ¹	CASE NUMBER	LOCATION	YEAR	TYPE ²
Uber v Enovsys* (*firm: Seth Law)	IPR2024-00825 IPR2024-00826 IPR2024-00827	PTAB	2024 -	P,t
(Pa	ntents related to mobil	e unit tracking)		
JUUL v NJOY* (*firm: Weil Gotshal)	337-TA-1368 IPR2024-00231 (Patent related to vap	ITC PTAB ing devices)	2024	P,t,TH
RJR v Guangdong et al.* (*firm: Mei & Mark)	337-TA-1410	ITC	2024 -	P,t
	(Patent related to vap	ing devices)		
RJR v Kangvape* (*firm: Fish & Richardson)	337-TA-1410	ITC	2024 -	P,t
	(Patent related to vap	ing devices)		
RJR v Breeze et al.* (*firm: Goodwin Procter)	337-TA-1410	ITC	2024 -	P,t
	(Patent related to vap	ing devices)		
RJR v Pastel et al.* (*firm: Keller Heckman)	337-TA-1410	ITC	2024 -	P,t
(Patent related to vaping devices)				
RJR v Shenzhen Yanyang et al.* (*firm: Rimon)	337-TA-1410	ITC	2024 -	P,t
	(Patent related to vap	ing devices)		
RJR v Maduro* (*firm: Thompson Hine)	337-TA-1410	ITC	2024 -	P,t
	(Patent related to vap	ing devices)		
RJR v TheSy* (*firm: Thompson Hine)	337-TA-1410	ITC	2024 -	P,t
	(Patent related to vap	ing devices)		

Joseph C. McAlexander III

CASE ¹	CASE NUMBER	LOCATION	YEAR	TYPE ²	
RJR v SV3* (*firm: Sterne Kessler)	337-TA-1410	ITC	2024 -	P,t	
(Patent related to vaping devices)					
REED v MPS* (*firm: Perkins Coie)	IPR2024-00871	PTAB	2024 -	P,t	
(Patent related to bootstrap refresh control)					
Phenix* v AU Optronics (*firm: Womble Bond)	2:23-cv-00477- RWS-RSP	EDTX, Marshall	2024 -	P	
(Patents related to Gamma reference generation)					
Phenix* v Innolux (*firm: Womble Bond)	2:23-cv-00478- RWS-RSP	EDTX, Marshall	2024 -	Р	
(Patents related to Gamma reference generation)					

CURRICULUM VITAE

Cases (continued)

I have worked with other clients in various areas of my expertise, including "system, product, and process investigation," "patent valuation," "product liability and insurance claim investigation," "quality systems consulting and engineering," and "IP licensing." This work generally relates to patents and/or trade secrets and may involve analysis of products either defensively or offensively. In no case does any of the work involve design of circuits, processes, packaging, software, or systems.

Document **104**-7

#: **3805**

Non-confidentially, I have represented a radiation effects testing company, ICS Radiation Technology. Further, I have worked with investment companies, such as Hatcreek Partners, reviewing potential investment opportunities, and have participated as a technical advisor to nLine Corporation, a company that developed a product for semiconductor wafer inspection using holographic High Aspect Ratio Inspection (HARI) technology. Work associated with each of these matters occurred more than 10 years ago.

These and other non-confidentially related companies are:

The Aspire International Foundation (2019-present)

- Partner:
- Rediscovering and redefining identity and purpose through experiential equine learning programs;
- Not assigned any patents and not engaged in any IP related activities.

Casualty Consulting Group of America (2013-present)

- Partner:
- Assessment of structural damage caused by extreme weather events;
- Not assigned any patents and not engaged in any IP related activities.

VCSY (2013-2020)

- Advisory board Member;
- Technology related to encrypted communication.

Bethel Cannon Group / Bethel Cannon Holdings (2011-present)

- Partner;
- Counseling / Event / Retreat Center / Hunting Lodge;
- Not assigned any patents and not engaged in any IP related activities.

Spirit Song Youth Equestrian Academy / Spirit Song Holdings (2011-present)

- CEO:
- Equine Assisted Learning Program for abused / traumatized youth, families, corporations, and churches;
- Not assigned any patents and not engaged in any IP related activities.

Joseph C. McAlexander III

Cases (continued)

E³A (2016-present)

- President (2018-2023);
- Certification training of Equine Practitioners;
- Not assigned any patents and not engaged in any IP related activities.

Novo Tellus Capital Partners (2016-2017)

- Novo Tellus is an investment firm. I served as a technical advisor in reviewing the technology and contracts of investment opportunities.

UTAC (2008-2016)

- Technical consultant in matters related to packaging test and assembly, patents, and contracts.

ICS Radiation Technology (1988-2009)

- Consulting work related to nuclear radiation effects testing.

Creative Management Consultants (CMC) (2003-2004)

 Consulting work related to Internet services, such as access service to clients and web site hosting services; providing business co-op services and internet product purchasing sites.

Hatcreek Partners (1999-2003)

- Hatcreek Partners is an investment firm. I served as a technical advisor in reviewing the technology of investment opportunities.

nLine Corporation (1999-2003)

- Member of Technical Advisory Board;
- nLine Corp.'s business related to semiconductor holographic High Aspect Ratio Inspection (HARI) technology.

Texas Instruments (pre 2002)

- Patent evaluation and application consulting.

CONTACT: M^cAlexander Sound, Inc.

101 W. Renner Rd., Suite 350 Richardson, TX 75082-2016

 (972) 421-4411
 direct

 (214) 542-1772
 cell

 (972) 421-4422
 fax

 joemc@ieee.org
 email